1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LANARE WISE,	No. C 12-05931 EJD (PR)
Petitioner, vs.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL; GRANTING LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS; DENYING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
RONALD M. WHYTE, Judge,	
Respondent.	
	(Docket No. 4)

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for a writ of mandamus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651.

In Wise v. Walker, No. 5:09-00268-RMW, the Honorable Ronald M. Whyte denied Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals denied a certificate of appealability and the United States Supreme Court denied a petition for a writ of certiorari and a petition for rehearing. Petitioner now petitions this Court for the relief denied by all of the other courts. However, this Court does not have jurisdiction to review or compel the performance of Judge Whyte in the other case. See generally United States v. Park Place Assoc., Ltd., 563 F.3d 907, 924-25 (9th Cir. 2009).

This writ of mandamus is more appropriately construed as a second or successive petition. However, it must still be denied as Petitioner has not obtained an order from the court of appeals allowing him to file a second or successive petition. See 28 U.S.C. §

Order Granting Extension of Time to file IFP Application 05931Wise_dism.wpd

2244(b)(3)(A).

For the foregoing reasons, the writ of mandamus is DISMISSED.

To the extent the writ of mandamus could be construed as a writ of habeas corpus,

pursuant to Rule 11 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, a certificate of appealability

pursuant to Rule 11 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, a certificate of appealabilit ("COA") under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) is DENIED because it cannot be said that "reasonable jurists" would find the district court's assessment of the constitution claims debatable or wrong. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

Petitioner's motion for leave to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u>, (Docket No. 4) is GRANTED.

This order terminates Docket No. 4

DATED: 1/7/13

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LANARE WISE,	Case Number CV 12-05931 EJD (PR)
Petitioner, v.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
RONALD M. WHYTE, Judge,	
Respondent.	/
Court, Northern District of California. That on	said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said
Lanare Wise T76040 New Folsom State Prison P. O. Box 290066 Represa, CA 95671	
DATED: [08 13	